

UPDATED RESULTS ON THE CKM MATRIX AND THE UNITARITY TRIANGLE

Including results presented at
HEP 2005, Europhysics Conference, Lisboa, Portugal

P r e l i m i n a r y

July 16, 2005

The CKMfitter Group

Abstract

This document provides the collection of up-to-date inputs to the global CKM analysis, and numerical results obtained with the use of the fit package CKMfitter. The statistical method employed is the frequentist approach *Rfit*. Detailed background information on the methodology and the treatment of experimental and theoretical uncertainties is provided in:

CP VIOLATION AND THE CKM MATRIX:
ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF THE ASYMMETRIC *B* FACTORIES

By CKMfitter Group

Eur. Phys. J. **C41**, 1-131, 2005 [hep-ph/0406184]

The CKMfitter Group

A. Bret ^a, J. Charles ^b, D. Decamp ^a, O. Deschamps ^c, A. Höcker ^d, H. Lacker ^e, S. Laplace ^a,
F.R. Le Diberder ^d, J. Malcèls ^f, S. Monteil ^c, J. Ocariz ^f, M. Pivk ^g, S. Pruvot ^d, L. Roos ^f,
S. T'Jampens ^a, K. Trabelsi ^h,

^a*Laboratoire d'Annecy-Le-Vieux de Physique des Particules*
9 Chemin de Bellevue, BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France
(UMR 5814 du CNRS-IN2P3 associée à l'Université de Savoie)
e-mail: anais.bret@lapp.in2p3.fr, Daniel.Decamp@lapp.in2p3.fr, laplace@lapp.in2p3.fr,
tjamp@lapp.in2p3.fr

^b*Centre de Physique Théorique,*
Campus de Luminy, Case 907, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
(UMR 6207 du CNRS associée aux Universités d'Aix-Marseille I et II
et Université du Sud Toulon-Var; laboratoire affilié à la FRUMAM-FR2291)
e-mail: charles@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

^c*Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire de Clermont-Ferrand*
Université Blaise Pascal
24, avenue des Landais F-63177 Aubière Cedex
(UMR 6533 du CNRS-IN2P3 associée à l'Université Blaise Pascal)
e-mail: odescham@in2p3.fr, monteil@clermont.in2p3.fr

^d*Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire,*
Bât. 200 BP34 F-91898 Orsay, France
(UMR 8607 du CNRS-IN2P3 associée à l'Université Paris XI)
e-mail: hoecker@lal.in2p3.fr, diberder@in2p3.fr, pruvot@lal.in2p3.fr

^e*Technische Universität Dresden,*
Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, D-01062 Dresden, Germany
e-mail: h.lacker@physik.tu-dresden.de

^f*Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies*
4 place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
(UMR 7585 du CNRS-IN2P3 associée aux Universités Paris VI et VII)
e-mail: malcles@lpnhep.in2p3.fr, ocariz@in2p3.fr, lroos@lpnhep.in2p3.fr

^g*CERN, PH Department*
CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
e-mail: muriel.pivk@cern.ch

^h*University of Hawaii*
Honolulu, Hawaii, 96822
e-mail: karim@phys.hawaii.edu

References

- [1] Results presented at the San Diego CKM workshop, <http://ckm2005.ucsd.edu/>
- [2] The Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG),
Summer 2005 averages, <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/>
- [3] We have use the HFAG [2] results for the individual averages of the exclusive and inclusive $|V_{ub}|$ determinations. We have combined these two averages by assigning as theoretical error the smaller one, obtained for the HFAG average of the inclusive results.
- [4] O. Buchmüller and H. Flächer, Fit to Moment Measurements from $B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu$ and $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ Decays using Heavy Quark Expansions in the Kinetic Scheme, hep-ph/0507253 (2005)
- [5] T. Trippe, private communication (2004)
- [6] B. Aubert *et al.*, hep-ex/0503049 (2005)
- [7] B. Aubert *et al.*, BABAR-CONF-04/038, hep-ex/0408099 (2004)
- [8] The CDF Collaboration, the D0 Collaboration, and the Tevatron Electroweak Working Group, Combination of CDF and D0 Results on the Top-Quark Mass, hep-ex/0507091 (2005)
- [9] BABAR Collaboration (B. Aubert *et al.*), Talk given by F. Forti at Lepton-Photon 2005; Belle Collaboration (K. Abe *et al.*), hep-ex/0507034 (2005)
- [10] Particle Data Group (S. Eidelman *et al.*), Phys. Lett. **B592**, 1 (2004), and 2005 partial update for the 2006 edition available on <http://pdg.lbl.gov/>
- [11] S. Herrlich and U. Nierste, Nucl. Phys. **B419**, 292 (1994)
- [12] U. Nierste, private communication (2003)
- [13] G. Buchalla, A.J. Buras and M.E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996)

Parameter	Value $\pm$ Error(s)	Reference	Errors	
			GS	TH
$ V_{ud} $ (nuclei)	0.9739 ± 0.0003	[1]	★	-
$ V_{us} $ ($K_{\ell 3}$ and $K_{\mu 2}$)	0.2248 ± 0.0016	[1]	★	-
$ V_{ub} $ (average)	$(4.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$	[2], our average [3]	★	★
$ V_{cb} $ (incl.)	$(41.58 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-3}$	[4]	★	★
$ V_{cb} $ (excl.)	$(41.4 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$	[1, 2]	★	-
$ \varepsilon_K $	$(2.282 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{-3}$	[5]	★	-
Δm_d	$(0.509 \pm 0.004) \text{ ps}^{-1}$	[2]	★	-
Δm_s	Amplitude spectrum	[1]	★	-
$\sin(2\beta)_{[c\bar{c}]}$	0.687 ± 0.032	[2]	★	-
$S_{\pi\pi}^{+-}$	-0.50 ± 0.12	[2]	★	-
$C_{\pi\pi}^{+-}$	-0.37 ± 0.10	[2]	★	-
$C_{\pi\pi}^{00}$	-0.28 ± 0.39	[2]	★	-
$\mathcal{B}_{\pi\pi}$ all charges	Inputs to isospin analysis	[2]	★	-
$S_{\rho\rho,L}^{+-}$	-0.22 ± 0.22	[2]	★	-
$C_{\rho\rho,L}^{+-}$	-0.02 ± 0.17	[2]	★	-
$\mathcal{B}_{\rho\rho,L}$ all charges	Inputs to isospin analysis	[2]	★	-
$B^0 \rightarrow (\rho\pi)^0 \rightarrow 3\pi$	Time-dependent Dalitz analysis	[7]	★	-
$B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^{(*)-}$	Inputs to GLW analysis	[2]	★	-
$B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^{(*)-}$	Inputs to ADS analysis	[2]	★	-
$B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^{(*)-}$	GSZ Dalitz analyses	[2]	★	-
$\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)$	Experimental likelihoods	[9]	★	-
$\overline{m}_c(m_c)$	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \text{ GeV}$	[10]	-	★
$\overline{m}_t(m_t)$	$(162.5 \pm 2.8) \text{ GeV}$	[10]	★	-
m_{K^+}	$(493.677 \pm 0.016) \text{ MeV}$	[10]	-	-
Δm_K	$(3.490 \pm 0.006) \times 10^{-12} \text{ MeV}$	[10]	-	-
m_{B_d}	$(5.2794 \pm 0.0005) \text{ GeV}$	[10]	-	-
m_{B_s}	$(5.3696 \pm 0.0024) \text{ GeV}$	[10]	-	-
m_W	$(80.423 \pm 0.039) \text{ GeV}$	[10]	-	-
G_F	$1.16639 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$	[10]	-	-
f_K	$(159.8 \pm 1.5) \text{ MeV}$	[10]	-	-
B_K	$0.79 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.09$	[1]	★	★
$\alpha_s(m_Z^2)$	0.1187 ± 0.0020	[10]	-	★
η_{ct}	0.47 ± 0.04	[11]	-	★
η_{tt}	0.5765 ± 0.0065	[11, 12]	-	-
$\eta_B(\overline{\text{MS}})$	0.551 ± 0.007	[13]	-	★
$f_{B_d} \sqrt{B_d}$	$(223 \pm 33 \pm 12) \text{ MeV}$	[1]	★	★
$\xi^{(a)}$	$1.24 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.06$	[1]	★	★

^(a) anticorrelated theory error with $f_{B_d} \sqrt{B_d}$.

Table 1: *Inputs to the standard CKM fit. If not stated otherwise: for two errors given, the first is statistical and accountable systematic and the second stands for systematic theoretical uncertainties. The last two columns indicate Rfit treatment of the input parameters: measurements or parameters that have statistical errors (we include here experimental systematics) are marked in the “GS” column by an asterisk; measurements or parameters that have systematic theoretical errors are marked in the “TH” column by an asterisk. Upper part: experimental determinations of the CKM matrix elements. Middle upper part: CP-violation and mixing observables. Middle lower part: parameters used in SM predictions that are obtained from experiment. Lower part: parameters of the SM predictions obtained from theory.*

Observable	central $\pm$ CL $\equiv 1\sigma$	$\pm$ CL $\equiv 2\sigma$	$\pm$ CL $\equiv 3\sigma$
λ	$0.22622^{+0.00100}_{-0.00100}$	$+0.00200$ -0.00200	$+0.00299$ -0.00301
A	$0.825^{+0.011}_{-0.019}$	$+0.023$ -0.039	$+0.034$ -0.051
$\bar{\rho}$	$0.207^{+0.036}_{-0.043}$	$+0.094$ -0.100	$+0.127$ -0.146
$\bar{\eta}$	$0.340^{+0.023}_{-0.023}$	$+0.048$ -0.063	$+0.074$ -0.077
J [10^{-5}]	$3.10^{+0.22}_{-0.21}$	$+0.45$ -0.57	$+0.63$ -0.68
$\sin 2(\alpha)$	$-0.28^{+0.24}_{-0.20}$	$+0.54$ -0.48	$+0.76$ -0.55
$\sin 2(\alpha)$ (meas. not in fit)	$-0.27^{+0.67}_{-0.41}$	$+0.88$ -0.54	$+1.03$ -0.60
$\sin 2(\beta)$	$0.724^{+0.018}_{-0.018}$	$+0.040$ -0.052	$+0.066$ -0.076
$\sin 2(\beta)$ (meas. not in fit)	$0.742^{+0.072}_{-0.026}$	$+0.108$ -0.061	$+0.125$ -0.095
α (deg)	$98.1^{+6.3}_{-7.0}$	$+16.8$ -15.7	$+20.2$ -22.4
α (deg) (meas. not in fit)	97^{+13}_{-19}	$+18$ -26	$+22$ -32
α (deg) (dir. meas. only)	$98.6^{+12.6}_{-8.1}$	$+22.6$ -16.4	$+32.1$ -26.0
β (deg)	$23.22^{+0.74}_{-0.77}$	$+1.70$ -2.12	$+2.89$ -3.01
β (deg) (meas. not in fit)	$23.9^{+3.3}_{-1.1}$	$+5.1$ -2.5	$+6.1$ -3.8
β (deg) (dir. meas. only)	$21.7^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$	$+2.6$ -2.4	$+4.1$ -3.6
$\gamma \simeq \delta$ (deg)	$58.6^{+6.8}_{-5.9}$	$+15.7$ -15.1	$+22.5$ -18.6
$\gamma \simeq \delta$ (deg) (meas. not in fit)	$57.3^{+7.3}_{-12.9}$	$+17.4$ -16.1	$+25.2$ -19.1
$\gamma \simeq \delta$ (deg) (dir. meas. only)	63^{+15}_{-12}	$+47$ -25	$+67$ -37
$\sin(2\beta_s)$	$0.0363^{+0.0025}_{-0.0025}$	$+0.0054$ -0.0068	$+0.0082$ -0.0084
β_s (deg)	$1.039^{+0.073}_{-0.071}$	$+0.155$ -0.194	$+0.235$ -0.239
$\sin \theta_{12}$	$0.22625^{+0.00098}_{-0.00102}$	$+0.00197$ -0.00203	$+0.00297$ -0.00304
$\sin \theta_{13}$ [10^{-3}]	$3.904^{+0.098}_{-0.098}$	$+0.247$ -0.195	$+0.481$ -0.295
$\sin \theta_{23}$ [10^{-3}]	$42.23^{+0.43}_{-0.93}$	$+0.87$ -1.77	$+1.30$ -2.26
R_u	$0.398^{+0.011}_{-0.011}$	$+0.026$ -0.021	$+0.049$ -0.031
R_t	$0.863^{+0.047}_{-0.041}$	$+0.109$ -0.107	$+0.157$ -0.139
Δm_d (ps^{-1}) (meas. not in fit)	$0.400^{+0.167}_{-0.079}$	$+0.447$ -0.128	$+0.722$ -0.164
Δm_s (ps^{-1})	$18.3^{+6.5}_{-1.5}$	$+11.4$ -2.7	$+15.2$ -3.6
Δm_s (ps^{-1}) (meas. not in fit)	$20.9^{+4.5}_{-4.2}$	$+9.2$ -6.5	$+12.8$ -8.5
ϵ_K [10^{-3}] (meas. not in fit)	$2.78^{+0.41}_{-1.13}$	$+0.83$ -1.52	$+1.21$ -1.71
f_{B_d} (MeV) (lattice value not in fit)	183^{+25}_{-12}	$+44$ -22	$+61$ -32
B_K (lattice value not in fit)	$0.83^{+0.13}_{-0.20}$	$+0.42$ -0.27	$+0.61$ -0.32
m_c (GeV/c^2) (meas. not in fit)	$1.55^{+0.21}_{-1.07}$	$+0.54$ -1.07	$+0.74$ -1.07
m_t (GeV/c^2) (meas. not in fit)	160^{+50}_{-27}	$+103$ -44	$+136$ -56

Table 2: Numerical results of the global CKM fit (I).

Observable	central $\pm$ CL $\equiv 1\sigma$	$\pm$ CL $\equiv 2\sigma$	$\pm$ CL $\equiv 3\sigma$
$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ $[10^{-11}]$	$7.27^{+1.57}_{-0.76}$	$+2.42$ -1.58	$+3.03$ -1.89
$\mathcal{B}(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ $[10^{-11}]$	$2.73^{+0.41}_{-0.41}$	$+0.88$ -0.94	$+1.27$ -1.10
$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\mu)$ $[10^{-5}]$	$10.4^{+1.6}_{-1.7}$	$+5.4$ -3.1	$+9.4$ -4.2
$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$ $[10^{-7}]$	$4.49^{+0.69}_{-0.71}$	$+1.88$ -1.29	$+3.25$ -1.74
$ V_{ud} $	$0.97406^{+0.00023}_{-0.00023}$	$+0.00047$ -0.00046	$+0.00070$ -0.00070
$ V_{us} $	$0.22625^{+0.00098}_{-0.00102}$	$+0.00198$ -0.00203	$+0.00297$ -0.00303
$ V_{ub} $ $[10^{-3}]$	$3.899^{+0.102}_{-0.095}$	$+0.251$ -0.191	$+0.486$ -0.291
$ V_{ub} $ $[10^{-3}]$ (meas. not in fit)	$3.56^{+0.25}_{-0.22}$	$+0.47$ -0.41	$+0.72$ -0.41
$ V_{cd} $	$0.22611^{+0.00100}_{-0.00100}$	$+0.00200$ -0.00200	$+0.00299$ -0.00301
$ V_{cs} $	$0.97319^{+0.00023}_{-0.00024}$	$+0.00046$ -0.00047	$+0.00069$ -0.00070
$ V_{cb} $ $[10^{-3}]$	$42.24^{+0.43}_{-0.93}$	$+0.86$ -1.77	$+1.29$ -2.26
$ V_{cb} $ $[10^{-3}]$ (meas. not in fit)	$44.9^{+1.3}_{-2.1}$	$+3.4$ -4.4	$+5.2$ -6.5
$ V_{td} $ $[10^{-3}]$	$8.24^{+0.46}_{-0.41}$	$+1.05$ -1.07	$+1.46$ -1.33
$ V_{ts} $ $[10^{-3}]$	$41.61^{+0.43}_{-0.90}$	$+0.85$ -1.73	$+1.28$ -2.22
$ V_{tb} $	$0.999100^{+0.000039}_{-0.000019}$	$+0.000073$ -0.000037	$+0.000093$ -0.000056
$ V_{ud}V_{ub}^* $ $[10^{-3}]$	$3.801^{+0.097}_{-0.094}$	$+0.242$ -0.189	$+0.471$ -0.286
$\arg[V_{ud}V_{ub}^*]$ (deg)	$58.7^{+6.7}_{-6.0}$	$+15.6$ -15.3	$+22.4$ -18.7
$ V_{cd}V_{cb}^* $ $[10^{-3}]$	$9.55^{+0.11}_{-0.21}$	$+0.21$ -0.41	$+0.32$ -0.53
$\arg[-V_{cd}V_{cb}^*]$ (deg)	$0.0347^{+0.0024}_{-0.0024}$	$+0.0050$ -0.0064	$+0.0071$ -0.0077
$ V_{td}V_{tb}^* $ $[10^{-3}]$	$8.24^{+0.46}_{-0.42}$	$+1.04$ -1.08	$+1.45$ -1.34
$\arg[V_{td}V_{tb}^*]$ (deg)	$-23.18^{+0.76}_{-0.74}$	$+2.11$ -1.71	$+2.99$ -2.89
$ V_{td}/V_{ts} $	$0.1982^{+0.0113}_{-0.0098}$	$+0.0261$ -0.0256	$+0.0377$ -0.0331
$\arg[-V_{ts}V_{tb}^*]$ (deg)	$1.038^{+0.072}_{-0.071}$	$+0.072$ -0.071	$+0.072$ -0.071
$\text{Re}\lambda_c$	$-0.22006^{+0.00093}_{-0.00091}$	$+0.00186$ -0.00182	$+0.00279$ -0.00274
$\text{Im}\lambda_c$ $[10^{-4}]$	$-1.406^{+0.097}_{-0.097}$	$+0.257$ -0.201	$+0.309$ -0.285
$\text{Re}\lambda_t$ $[10^{-4}]$	$-3.13^{+0.20}_{-0.17}$	$+0.47$ -0.40	$+0.58$ -0.56
$\text{Im}\lambda_t$ $[10^{-4}]$	$1.407^{+0.096}_{-0.098}$	$+0.200$ -0.258	$+0.284$ -0.311

Table 3: Numerical results of the global CKM fit (II).